

Water Plan Comments

Nick Konovaloff [nkonovaloff@rcrcnet.org]

Sent: Wednesday, October 16, 2013 4:21 PM

To: DWR CWP Comments

Cc: Beutler, Lisa@COMCAST

Good Morning,

RCRC has just two brief comments on the first release of the public draft to date.

Page ES-5, Line 11: RCRC believes it should be Proposition 218 and not Assembly Bill 218.

Page 2-9, Lines 20 thru 23: RCRC certainly understands the value of High Value, Multiple Benefits projects but many times a local narrowly focused project is the most valued and critical need project and do not believe those projects should be characterized in a negative light. The High Value, Multiple Benefit projects can be addressed positively without being negative on much needed local projects.

Thanks,

Nick Konovaloff
Rural County Representatives of California
1215 K Street, Suite 1650
Sacramento, CA 95814
Ph. (916) 447-4806
FAX (916) 431-0101

E-Mail Originated From: 1215 K Street, Suite 1650, Sacramento CA 95814 Electronic Privacy Notice: This e-mail, and any attachments, contains information that is, or may be covered by, the Electronic Communication Privacy Act, Title 18 U.S.C 2510-2521, and is also confidential and proprietary in nature. If you received this e-mail in error, please be advised that you are legally prohibited from retaining, using, copying, distributing, or otherwise disclosing this information in any manner. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact our IT Department by e-mail at mis@rcrcnet.org, or by telephone at (916) 447-4806, indicating that you received this communication in error, and then immediately delete it. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.